

THE PROHIBITORY LIQUOR LAW.

Important Liquor Trial in Astoria.

ASTORIA, July 27, 1855.

Before Justices Boyd and Sedwick. The People vs. John Jamieson. A very important and interesting liquor trial came off in Astoria on Friday last, before Justices Boyd and Sedwick. A liquor dealer named Jamieson had been arrested and the liquor on the premises seized. He claimed a trial by jury, which was granted. The warrant for the seizure of the liquor was made on the complaint of Josiah Blackwell, Esq., who acted on behalf of the Astoria Bazaar and Temperance League, who were the prosecutors in this case. John Owen, Esq., of New York, appeared for the prosecution, and Theodore T. Tomlinson appeared for the defense. Mr. Tomlinson, in opening the case, demanded an immediate dismissal of the defendant. He argued that the affidavit on which the warrant was issued did not comply with the law. The warrant itself was not sufficient, and the liquor to be seized was not specified in the affidavit. The defendant could not be convicted on presumptive evidence. The Court overruled these objections, and held that the affidavit was sufficient, and the objections on technical grounds were raised by counsel, but not by the defendant, and were therefore overruled; but the case was adjourned to the next day.

When the case was called on for the next day, Mr. Jamieson was called. Q. Do you belong to a temperance society? A. I have belonged for a number of years to a temperance society. Challenged. Peter Van Pelt, Q. Do you belong to a temperance society in Astoria for the suppression of intemperance? A. No, sir, never belonged to a temperance society. A. Accepted.

The same question was asked of all the jurors. When six were impaneled, however, Mr. Tomlinson refused to be tried by such a jury. He claimed a jury of twelve.

The Court overruled his objections, and exceptions were taken.

Mr. Owen proceeded to address the jury. He spoke of the importance of this trial, and of the fact that the question of the right to a trial by jury was at stake. The question of temperance and intemperance had taken the place of politics. He contended that the law was perfectly constitutional, and the jury were there to decide the facts of the case.

Mr. Jamieson followed, and made a scorching reply to the statement of Mr. Blackwell to the jury. He said that he was a temperance man, and that he was not a member of the Astoria Bazaar and Temperance League.

John Owen then called the first witness called, and a resident of Astoria, who testified that he saw Jamieson at the time of the seizure of the liquor. He testified that he saw Jamieson at the time of the seizure of the liquor, and that he saw him at the time of the seizure of the liquor.

Mr. Jamieson then called his first witness, and a resident of Astoria, who testified that he saw Jamieson at the time of the seizure of the liquor. He testified that he saw Jamieson at the time of the seizure of the liquor, and that he saw him at the time of the seizure of the liquor.

Mr. Jamieson then called his second witness, and a resident of Astoria, who testified that he saw Jamieson at the time of the seizure of the liquor. He testified that he saw Jamieson at the time of the seizure of the liquor, and that he saw him at the time of the seizure of the liquor.

Mr. Jamieson then called his third witness, and a resident of Astoria, who testified that he saw Jamieson at the time of the seizure of the liquor. He testified that he saw Jamieson at the time of the seizure of the liquor, and that he saw him at the time of the seizure of the liquor.

Mr. Jamieson then called his fourth witness, and a resident of Astoria, who testified that he saw Jamieson at the time of the seizure of the liquor. He testified that he saw Jamieson at the time of the seizure of the liquor, and that he saw him at the time of the seizure of the liquor.

Mr. Jamieson then called his fifth witness, and a resident of Astoria, who testified that he saw Jamieson at the time of the seizure of the liquor. He testified that he saw Jamieson at the time of the seizure of the liquor, and that he saw him at the time of the seizure of the liquor.

Mr. Jamieson then called his sixth witness, and a resident of Astoria, who testified that he saw Jamieson at the time of the seizure of the liquor. He testified that he saw Jamieson at the time of the seizure of the liquor, and that he saw him at the time of the seizure of the liquor.

Mr. Jamieson then called his seventh witness, and a resident of Astoria, who testified that he saw Jamieson at the time of the seizure of the liquor. He testified that he saw Jamieson at the time of the seizure of the liquor, and that he saw him at the time of the seizure of the liquor.

Mr. Jamieson then called his eighth witness, and a resident of Astoria, who testified that he saw Jamieson at the time of the seizure of the liquor. He testified that he saw Jamieson at the time of the seizure of the liquor, and that he saw him at the time of the seizure of the liquor.

Mr. Jamieson then called his ninth witness, and a resident of Astoria, who testified that he saw Jamieson at the time of the seizure of the liquor. He testified that he saw Jamieson at the time of the seizure of the liquor, and that he saw him at the time of the seizure of the liquor.

Mr. Jamieson then called his tenth witness, and a resident of Astoria, who testified that he saw Jamieson at the time of the seizure of the liquor. He testified that he saw Jamieson at the time of the seizure of the liquor, and that he saw him at the time of the seizure of the liquor.

Mr. Jamieson then called his eleventh witness, and a resident of Astoria, who testified that he saw Jamieson at the time of the seizure of the liquor. He testified that he saw Jamieson at the time of the seizure of the liquor, and that he saw him at the time of the seizure of the liquor.

Mr. Jamieson then called his twelfth witness, and a resident of Astoria, who testified that he saw Jamieson at the time of the seizure of the liquor. He testified that he saw Jamieson at the time of the seizure of the liquor, and that he saw him at the time of the seizure of the liquor.

Mr. Jamieson then called his thirteenth witness, and a resident of Astoria, who testified that he saw Jamieson at the time of the seizure of the liquor. He testified that he saw Jamieson at the time of the seizure of the liquor, and that he saw him at the time of the seizure of the liquor.

Mr. Jamieson then called his fourteenth witness, and a resident of Astoria, who testified that he saw Jamieson at the time of the seizure of the liquor. He testified that he saw Jamieson at the time of the seizure of the liquor, and that he saw him at the time of the seizure of the liquor.

Mr. Jamieson then called his fifteenth witness, and a resident of Astoria, who testified that he saw Jamieson at the time of the seizure of the liquor. He testified that he saw Jamieson at the time of the seizure of the liquor, and that he saw him at the time of the seizure of the liquor.

Mr. Jamieson then called his sixteenth witness, and a resident of Astoria, who testified that he saw Jamieson at the time of the seizure of the liquor. He testified that he saw Jamieson at the time of the seizure of the liquor, and that he saw him at the time of the seizure of the liquor.

Mr. Jamieson then called his seventeenth witness, and a resident of Astoria, who testified that he saw Jamieson at the time of the seizure of the liquor. He testified that he saw Jamieson at the time of the seizure of the liquor, and that he saw him at the time of the seizure of the liquor.

Mr. Jamieson then called his eighteenth witness, and a resident of Astoria, who testified that he saw Jamieson at the time of the seizure of the liquor. He testified that he saw Jamieson at the time of the seizure of the liquor, and that he saw him at the time of the seizure of the liquor.

Mr. Jamieson then called his nineteenth witness, and a resident of Astoria, who testified that he saw Jamieson at the time of the seizure of the liquor. He testified that he saw Jamieson at the time of the seizure of the liquor, and that he saw him at the time of the seizure of the liquor.

Mr. Jamieson then called his twentieth witness, and a resident of Astoria, who testified that he saw Jamieson at the time of the seizure of the liquor. He testified that he saw Jamieson at the time of the seizure of the liquor, and that he saw him at the time of the seizure of the liquor.

Mr. Jamieson then called his twenty-first witness, and a resident of Astoria, who testified that he saw Jamieson at the time of the seizure of the liquor. He testified that he saw Jamieson at the time of the seizure of the liquor, and that he saw him at the time of the seizure of the liquor.

Mr. Jamieson then called his twenty-second witness, and a resident of Astoria, who testified that he saw Jamieson at the time of the seizure of the liquor. He testified that he saw Jamieson at the time of the seizure of the liquor, and that he saw him at the time of the seizure of the liquor.

Mr. Jamieson then called his twenty-third witness, and a resident of Astoria, who testified that he saw Jamieson at the time of the seizure of the liquor. He testified that he saw Jamieson at the time of the seizure of the liquor, and that he saw him at the time of the seizure of the liquor.

Mr. Jamieson then called his twenty-fourth witness, and a resident of Astoria, who testified that he saw Jamieson at the time of the seizure of the liquor. He testified that he saw Jamieson at the time of the seizure of the liquor, and that he saw him at the time of the seizure of the liquor.

variety store; sells vegetables and other things; I have been in his store since the 4th of July; don't know but what I may have been in there a dozen times since then. I have drunk something in there since the 4th; I asked for it myself; I have drunk a dozen times. A. What did you ask for? (Objected to ask exceptions taken.) A. I asked for pop for the 4th of July. I don't know how much, except a bottle; I have drunk a bottle of the bottle; I think I drank a glass of brandy once on the Saturday evening after the 4th; I did not pay for it at the time, and have not paid for it yet; have not paid for it yet; I asked for it for my friends, I asked for beer and stout; I don't know anything further about it.

Q. Examined—Took the brandy for medicinal purposes; I believe it was imported; it was on a Saturday evening, when I felt pretty bad with the diarrhea, and Mr. Lanesworth told me to go to Mr. Jamieson's, and I would get something that would help me.

Q. Examined—Took the brandy for medicinal purposes; I believe it was imported; it was on a Saturday evening, when I felt pretty bad with the diarrhea, and Mr. Lanesworth told me to go to Mr. Jamieson's, and I would get something that would help me.

Q. Examined—Took the brandy for medicinal purposes; I believe it was imported; it was on a Saturday evening, when I felt pretty bad with the diarrhea, and Mr. Lanesworth told me to go to Mr. Jamieson's, and I would get something that would help me.

Q. Examined—Took the brandy for medicinal purposes; I believe it was imported; it was on a Saturday evening, when I felt pretty bad with the diarrhea, and Mr. Lanesworth told me to go to Mr. Jamieson's, and I would get something that would help me.

Q. Examined—Took the brandy for medicinal purposes; I believe it was imported; it was on a Saturday evening, when I felt pretty bad with the diarrhea, and Mr. Lanesworth told me to go to Mr. Jamieson's, and I would get something that would help me.

Q. Examined—Took the brandy for medicinal purposes; I believe it was imported; it was on a Saturday evening, when I felt pretty bad with the diarrhea, and Mr. Lanesworth told me to go to Mr. Jamieson's, and I would get something that would help me.

Q. Examined—Took the brandy for medicinal purposes; I believe it was imported; it was on a Saturday evening, when I felt pretty bad with the diarrhea, and Mr. Lanesworth told me to go to Mr. Jamieson's, and I would get something that would help me.

Q. Examined—Took the brandy for medicinal purposes; I believe it was imported; it was on a Saturday evening, when I felt pretty bad with the diarrhea, and Mr. Lanesworth told me to go to Mr. Jamieson's, and I would get something that would help me.

Q. Examined—Took the brandy for medicinal purposes; I believe it was imported; it was on a Saturday evening, when I felt pretty bad with the diarrhea, and Mr. Lanesworth told me to go to Mr. Jamieson's, and I would get something that would help me.

Q. Examined—Took the brandy for medicinal purposes; I believe it was imported; it was on a Saturday evening, when I felt pretty bad with the diarrhea, and Mr. Lanesworth told me to go to Mr. Jamieson's, and I would get something that would help me.

Q. Examined—Took the brandy for medicinal purposes; I believe it was imported; it was on a Saturday evening, when I felt pretty bad with the diarrhea, and Mr. Lanesworth told me to go to Mr. Jamieson's, and I would get something that would help me.

Q. Examined—Took the brandy for medicinal purposes; I believe it was imported; it was on a Saturday evening, when I felt pretty bad with the diarrhea, and Mr. Lanesworth told me to go to Mr. Jamieson's, and I would get something that would help me.

Q. Examined—Took the brandy for medicinal purposes; I believe it was imported; it was on a Saturday evening, when I felt pretty bad with the diarrhea, and Mr. Lanesworth told me to go to Mr. Jamieson's, and I would get something that would help me.

Q. Examined—Took the brandy for medicinal purposes; I believe it was imported; it was on a Saturday evening, when I felt pretty bad with the diarrhea, and Mr. Lanesworth told me to go to Mr. Jamieson's, and I would get something that would help me.

Q. Examined—Took the brandy for medicinal purposes; I believe it was imported; it was on a Saturday evening, when I felt pretty bad with the diarrhea, and Mr. Lanesworth told me to go to Mr. Jamieson's, and I would get something that would help me.

Q. Examined—Took the brandy for medicinal purposes; I believe it was imported; it was on a Saturday evening, when I felt pretty bad with the diarrhea, and Mr. Lanesworth told me to go to Mr. Jamieson's, and I would get something that would help me.

Q. Examined—Took the brandy for medicinal purposes; I believe it was imported; it was on a Saturday evening, when I felt pretty bad with the diarrhea, and Mr. Lanesworth told me to go to Mr. Jamieson's, and I would get something that would help me.

Q. Examined—Took the brandy for medicinal purposes; I believe it was imported; it was on a Saturday evening, when I felt pretty bad with the diarrhea, and Mr. Lanesworth told me to go to Mr. Jamieson's, and I would get something that would help me.

Q. Examined—Took the brandy for medicinal purposes; I believe it was imported; it was on a Saturday evening, when I felt pretty bad with the diarrhea, and Mr. Lanesworth told me to go to Mr. Jamieson's, and I would get something that would help me.

Q. Examined—Took the brandy for medicinal purposes; I believe it was imported; it was on a Saturday evening, when I felt pretty bad with the diarrhea, and Mr. Lanesworth told me to go to Mr. Jamieson's, and I would get something that would help me.

Q. Examined—Took the brandy for medicinal purposes; I believe it was imported; it was on a Saturday evening, when I felt pretty bad with the diarrhea, and Mr. Lanesworth told me to go to Mr. Jamieson's, and I would get something that would help me.

Q. Examined—Took the brandy for medicinal purposes; I believe it was imported; it was on a Saturday evening, when I felt pretty bad with the diarrhea, and Mr. Lanesworth told me to go to Mr. Jamieson's, and I would get something that would help me.

Q. Examined—Took the brandy for medicinal purposes; I believe it was imported; it was on a Saturday evening, when I felt pretty bad with the diarrhea, and Mr. Lanesworth told me to go to Mr. Jamieson's, and I would get something that would help me.

Q. Examined—Took the brandy for medicinal purposes; I believe it was imported; it was on a Saturday evening, when I felt pretty bad with the diarrhea, and Mr. Lanesworth told me to go to Mr. Jamieson's, and I would get something that would help me.

Q. Examined—Took the brandy for medicinal purposes; I believe it was imported; it was on a Saturday evening, when I felt pretty bad with the diarrhea, and Mr. Lanesworth told me to go to Mr. Jamieson's, and I would get something that would help me.

Q. Examined—Took the brandy for medicinal purposes; I believe it was imported; it was on a Saturday evening, when I felt pretty bad with the diarrhea, and Mr. Lanesworth told me to go to Mr. Jamieson's, and I would get something that would help me.

Q. Examined—Took the brandy for medicinal purposes; I believe it was imported; it was on a Saturday evening, when I felt pretty bad with the diarrhea, and Mr. Lanesworth told me to go to Mr. Jamieson's, and I would get something that would help me.

Q. Examined—Took the brandy for medicinal purposes; I believe it was imported; it was on a Saturday evening, when I felt pretty bad with the diarrhea, and Mr. Lanesworth told me to go to Mr. Jamieson's, and I would get something that would help me.

Q. Examined—Took the brandy for medicinal purposes; I believe it was imported; it was on a Saturday evening, when I felt pretty bad with the diarrhea, and Mr. Lanesworth told me to go to Mr. Jamieson's, and I would get something that would help me.

Q. Examined—Took the brandy for medicinal purposes; I believe it was imported; it was on a Saturday evening, when I felt pretty bad with the diarrhea, and Mr. Lanesworth told me to go to Mr. Jamieson's, and I would get something that would help me.

Q. Examined—Took the brandy for medicinal purposes; I believe it was imported; it was on a Saturday evening, when I felt pretty bad with the diarrhea, and Mr. Lanesworth told me to go to Mr. Jamieson's, and I would get something that would help me.

carved before for several months. After 9 o'clock in the evening nearly every ferry boat came over from New York had on board several persons who had become mellow through frequent potations of strong liquor.

Yesterday morning six persons were brought before Justice Jacob and disposed of for drunkenness. During yesterday the police of this district arrested several persons.

In the Sixth district, including Dutch Town, not a single arrest had been made since Friday last. The police of this district were on duty yesterday afternoon, by Officer Tice, Fifth district police, prostrated upon the ground. Mike was laboring under the impression that the earth was not "bobbing round," but bobbing up. The officer recognized him, and he best to let it down. The officer recognized him, and he best to let it down.

Mike had another pocket, and in it was found a Catholic prayer book, entitled "Flowers of Piety." It is feared that Mike had been on the path of early piety; at least, yesterday his devotion was rather more ardent at the shrine of Bacchus, and he was not so devout at the shrine of the Virgin Mary. It was the "Flowers of Piety." This was the occasion of Mike's downfall, and resulted in his being accommodated with the police of this district.

THE POLICE OF DUTCH TOWN. The police returns of Saturday show that eight persons for intoxication had been made the night previous, by the following districts:—

First district..... 3 Fourth..... 3 The arrest of the night numbered fourteen, of which one was arrested by the police of the Sixth district, and the other thirteen by the police of the Fourth district.

On Saturday evening Edward Pigeon was arrested on a warrant issued on the complaint of Mary Smith, who charged him with selling liquor on Sunday. He was brought before Justice Jacob, and was committed to the police of this district.

Common Pleas—General Term. Before Judge Justice. The court for the session of the Common Pleas, General Term, was held on Saturday last, at the City Hall, under the presidency of Judge Justice.

July 28—William Kelly vs. the Mayor, &c., of New York, respondents.—In this case, the plaintiff, William Kelly, brought an action against the Mayor, &c., of New York, for damages to his property.

July 28—William Kelly vs. the Mayor, &c., of New York, respondents.—In this case, the plaintiff, William Kelly, brought an action against the Mayor, &c., of New York, for damages to his property.

July 28—William Kelly vs. the Mayor, &c., of New York, respondents.—In this case, the plaintiff, William Kelly, brought an action against the Mayor, &c., of New York, for damages to his property.

July 28—William Kelly vs. the Mayor, &c., of New York, respondents.—In this case, the plaintiff, William Kelly, brought an action against the Mayor, &c., of New York, for damages to his property.

July 28—William Kelly vs. the Mayor, &c., of New York, respondents.—In this case, the plaintiff, William Kelly, brought an action against the Mayor, &c., of New York, for damages to his property.

July 28—William Kelly vs. the Mayor, &c., of New York, respondents.—In this case, the plaintiff, William Kelly, brought an action against the Mayor, &c., of New York, for damages to his property.

July 28—William Kelly vs. the Mayor, &c., of New York, respondents.—In this case, the plaintiff, William Kelly, brought an action against the Mayor, &c., of New York, for damages to his property.

July 28—William Kelly vs. the Mayor, &c., of New York, respondents.—In this case, the plaintiff, William Kelly, brought an action against the Mayor, &c., of New York, for damages to his property.

July 28—William Kelly vs. the Mayor, &c., of New York, respondents.—In this case, the plaintiff, William Kelly, brought an action against the Mayor, &c., of New York, for damages to his property.

July 28—William Kelly vs. the Mayor, &c., of New York, respondents.—In this case, the plaintiff, William Kelly, brought an action against the Mayor, &c., of New York, for damages to his property.

July 28—William Kelly vs. the Mayor, &c., of New York, respondents.—In this case, the plaintiff, William Kelly, brought an action against the Mayor, &c., of New York, for damages to his property.

July 28—William Kelly vs. the Mayor, &c., of New York, respondents.—In this case, the plaintiff, William Kelly, brought an action against the Mayor, &c., of New York, for damages to his property.

July 28—William Kelly vs. the Mayor, &c., of New York, respondents.—In this case, the plaintiff, William Kelly, brought an action against the Mayor, &c., of New York, for damages to his property.

July 28—William Kelly vs. the Mayor, &c., of New York, respondents.—In this case, the plaintiff, William Kelly, brought an action against the Mayor, &c., of New York, for damages to his property.

July 28—William Kelly vs. the Mayor, &c., of New York, respondents.—In this case, the plaintiff, William Kelly, brought an action against the Mayor, &c., of New York, for damages to his property.

July 28—William Kelly vs. the Mayor, &c., of New York, respondents.—In this case, the plaintiff, William Kelly, brought an action against the Mayor, &c., of New York, for damages to his property.

July 28—William Kelly vs. the Mayor, &c., of New York, respondents.—In this case, the plaintiff, William Kelly, brought an action against the Mayor, &c., of New York, for damages to his property.

July 28—William Kelly vs. the Mayor, &c., of New York, respondents.—In this case, the plaintiff, William Kelly, brought an action against the Mayor, &c., of New York, for damages to his property.

July 28—William Kelly vs. the Mayor, &c., of New York, respondents.—In this case, the plaintiff, William Kelly, brought an action against the Mayor, &c., of New York, for damages to his property.

July 28—William Kelly vs. the Mayor, &c., of New York, respondents.—In this case, the plaintiff, William Kelly, brought an action against the Mayor, &c., of New York, for damages to his property.

July 28—William Kelly vs. the Mayor, &c., of New York, respondents.—In this case, the plaintiff, William Kelly, brought an action against the Mayor, &c., of New York, for damages to his property.

July 28—William Kelly vs. the Mayor, &c., of New York, respondents.—In this case, the plaintiff, William Kelly, brought an action against the Mayor, &c., of New York, for damages to his property.

July 28—William Kelly vs. the Mayor, &c., of New York, respondents.—In this case, the plaintiff, William Kelly, brought an action against the Mayor, &c., of New York, for damages to his property.

July 28—William Kelly vs. the Mayor, &c., of New York, respondents.—In this case, the plaintiff, William Kelly, brought an action against the Mayor, &c., of New York, for damages to his property.

Obituary. DEATH OF THE LIME KILN MAN. Alexander McFarland, alias The Lime Kiln Man, is no more. He expired yesterday morning at 10 o'clock at New York Hospital, where he had been confined for some time.

He was brought on by sudden convulsions which overcame him in the Bowery, on Friday morning.

Coroner Hulton held an inquest upon the body of the deceased yesterday, when the jury rendered a verdict of death from "acute meningitis, or inflammation of the brain." The deceased was admitted to the New York Hospital on the morning of Friday, the 29th inst., and died yesterday, at about six o'clock, A. M. A post mortem examination was made of the body of the deceased by Dr. Arnerman, who was of opinion that death was produced by the above disease.

The unfortunate man was found in a state of insensibility lying on the sidewalk in the Bowery, between the corner of Station street, by Officer McConnell, of the Nineteenth ward police, who conveyed him to the hospital, where he lingered in a state of insensibility until he expired.

From information obtained by Coroner Hulton, it appears that the deceased was fifty years of age, and was a native of Brigden, Scotland. He had wandered through this city for the last twenty-five years, and was seen by several persons on Thursday, parading the streets in his usual quaint costume. The deceased was as well known perhaps as any public man in the city. Sketches of his life and wanderings throughout the metropolis have from time to time appeared in the public prints.

Without any habitation or visible means of support, he directed his daily appearance in the streets. He usually consisted of a brown coat, with many patches; a white hat, dirty and worn out, covered his head, and a pair of old shoes, which he wore with great pride.

His beard and whiskers were very large. Of this he was very proud, and always ably defended himself when called in account for wearing the hair on his head, or for his beard and whiskers. He was a native of Brigden, Scotland. He had wandered through this city for the last twenty-five years, and was seen by several persons on Thursday, parading the streets in his usual quaint costume.

The deceased was as well known perhaps as any public man in the city. Sketches of his life and wanderings throughout the metropolis have from time to time appeared in the public prints.

Without any habitation or visible means of support, he directed his daily appearance in the streets. He usually consisted of a brown coat, with many patches; a white hat, dirty and worn out, covered his head, and a pair of old shoes, which he wore with great pride.

His beard and whiskers were very large. Of this he was very proud, and always ably defended himself when called in account for wearing the hair on his head, or for his beard and whiskers. He was a native of Brigden, Scotland. He had wandered through this city for the last twenty-five years, and was seen by several persons on Thursday, parading the streets in his usual quaint costume.

The deceased was as well known perhaps as any public man in the city. Sketches of his life and wanderings throughout the metropolis have from time to time appeared in the public prints.

Without any habitation or visible means of support, he directed his daily appearance in the streets. He usually consisted of a brown coat, with many patches; a white hat, dirty and worn out, covered his head, and a pair of old shoes, which he wore with great pride.

His beard and whiskers were very large. Of this he was very proud, and always ably defended himself when called in account for wearing the hair on his head, or for his beard and whiskers. He was a native of Brigden, Scotland. He had wandered through this city for the last twenty-five years, and was seen by several persons on Thursday, parading the streets in his usual quaint costume.

The deceased was as well known perhaps as any public man in the city. Sketches of his life and wanderings throughout the metropolis have from time to time appeared in the public prints.

Without any habitation or visible means of support, he directed his daily appearance in the streets. He usually consisted of a brown coat, with many patches; a white hat, dirty and worn out, covered his head, and a pair of old shoes, which he wore with great pride.

His beard and whiskers were very large. Of this he was very proud, and always ably defended himself when called in account for wearing the hair on his head, or for his beard and whiskers. He was a native of Brigden, Scotland. He had wandered through this city for the last twenty-five years, and was seen by several persons on Thursday, parading the streets in his usual quaint costume.

The deceased was as well known perhaps as any public man in the city. Sketches of his life and wanderings throughout the metropolis have from time to time appeared in the public prints.

Without any habitation or visible means of support, he directed his daily appearance in the streets. He usually consisted of a brown coat, with many patches; a white hat, dirty and worn out, covered his head, and a pair of old shoes, which he wore with great pride.

His beard and whiskers were very large. Of this he was very proud, and always ably defended himself when called in account for wearing the hair on his head, or for his beard and whiskers. He was a native of Brigden, Scotland. He had wandered through this city for the last twenty-five years, and was seen by several persons on Thursday, parading the streets in his usual quaint costume.

The deceased was as well known perhaps as any public man in the city. Sketches of his life and wanderings throughout the metropolis have from time to time appeared in the public prints.

Without any habitation or visible means of support, he directed his daily appearance in the streets. He usually consisted of a brown coat, with many patches; a white hat, dirty and worn out, covered his head, and a pair of old shoes, which he wore with great pride.

His beard and whiskers were very large. Of this he was very proud, and always ably defended himself when called in account for wearing the hair on his head, or for his beard and whiskers. He was a native of Brigden, Scotland. He had wandered through this city for the last twenty-five years, and was seen by several persons on Thursday, parading the streets in his usual quaint costume.

The deceased was as well known perhaps as any public man in the city. Sketches of his life and wanderings throughout the metropolis have from time to time appeared in the public prints.

Without any habitation or visible means of support, he directed his daily appearance in the streets. He usually consisted of a brown coat, with many patches; a white hat, dirty and worn out, covered his head, and a pair of old shoes, which he wore with great pride.

His beard and whiskers were very large. Of this he was very proud, and always ably defended himself when called in account for wearing the hair on his head, or for his beard and whiskers. He was a native of Brigden, Scotland. He had wandered through this city for the last twenty-five years, and was seen by several persons on Thursday, parading the streets in his usual quaint costume.

The deceased was as well known perhaps as any public man in the city. Sketches of his life and wanderings throughout the metropolis have from time to time appeared in the public prints.

Without any habitation or visible means of support, he directed his daily appearance in the streets. He usually consisted of a brown coat, with many patches; a white hat, dirty and worn out, covered his head, and a pair of old shoes, which he wore with great pride.

His beard and whiskers were very large. Of this he was very proud, and always ably defended himself when called in account for wearing the hair on his head, or for his beard and whiskers. He was a native of Brigden, Scotland. He had wandered through this city for the last twenty-five years, and was seen by several persons on Thursday, parading the streets in his usual quaint costume.

The deceased was as well known perhaps as any public man in the city. Sketches of his life and wanderings throughout the metropolis have from time to time appeared in the public prints.

Without any habitation or visible means of support, he directed his daily appearance in the streets. He usually consisted of a brown coat, with many patches; a white hat, dirty and worn out, covered his head, and a pair of old shoes, which he wore with great pride.

His beard and whiskers were very large. Of this he was very proud, and always ably defended himself when called in account for wearing the hair on his head, or for his beard and whiskers. He was a native of Brigden, Scotland. He had wandered through this city for the last twenty-five years, and was seen by several persons on Thursday, parading the streets in his usual quaint costume.

The deceased was as well known perhaps as any public man in the city. Sketches of his life and wanderings throughout the metropolis have from time to time appeared in the public prints.

Our Kentucky Correspondence. LOUISVILLE, July 24, 1855. Political Excitement—Candidates for Governor—Prospects of the Know Nothing—Congressional Districts—The Candidates and their Chances.